arXiv:1511.02207v4 [math.AP] 9 Sep 2016

NORM-INFLATION RESULTS FOR THE BBM EQUATION

JERRY BONA AND MIMI DAI

Abstract. Considered here is the periodic initial-value probem for the regularized long-wave (BBM) equation

$$u_t + u_x + uu_x - u_{xxt} = 0.$$

Adding to previous work in the literature, it is shown here that for any $s < 0$, there is smooth initial data that is small in the L_2-based Sobolev spaces H^s, but the solution emanating from it becomes arbitrarily large in arbitrarily small time. This so called *norm inflation* result has as a consequence the previously determined conclusion that this problem is ill-posed in these negative-norm spaces.

1. Introduction

This note derives from the paper [7] where it was shown that the initial-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + u_x + uu_x - u_{xxt} &= 0, \\ u(0,x) &= u_0(x), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

for the regularized long-wave or BBM equation is globally well posed in the L_2–based Sobolev spaces $H^r(\mathbb{R})$ provided $r \geq 0$. In the same paper, it was shown that the map that takes initial data to solutions cannot be locally C^2 if $r < 0$. This latter result suggests, but does not prove, that the problem (1.1) is not well posed in H^r for negative values of r. Later, Panthee [15] showed that this solution map, were it to exist on all of $H^r(\mathbb{R})$, could not even be continuous, thus proving that the problem is ill posed in the L_2-based Sobolev spaces with negative index. Indeed, he showed that there is a sequence of smooth initial data $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\phi_n \to 0$ in $H^r(\mathbb{R})$ but the associated solutions, $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ have the property that $\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{H^r}$ is bounded away from zero for all small values of $t > 0$ and all $n \geq 1$.

The BBM equation itself was initially put forward in [16] and [3] as an approximate description of long-crested, surface water waves. It is an alternative to the classical Korteweg-de Vries equation and has been shown to be equivalent in that, for physically relevant initial data, the solutions of the two models differ by higher order terms on a long time scale (see [6].) It predicts the propagation of surface water waves pretty well in its range of validity [5]. Finally, it is known rigorously to be a good approximation to solutions of the full, inviscid, water-wave problem by combining results in [1], [4] and [13] (see also [14]).

It is our purpose here to show that in fact, for $r < 0$, the problem (1.1) is not only not well posed, but features blow-up in the H^r–norm in arbitrarily short time. This will be done in the context of the periodic initial-value problem wherein u_0 is

The author M. Dai was partially supported by NSF grant DMS–1517583.

a periodic distribution lying in H^r_{per} for some $r < 0$. Similar results hold for $H^r(\mathbb{R})$, but are not explicated here.

More precisely, it will be shown that, for any given $r < 0$, there is a sequence $\{u_0^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of smooth initial data such that $u_0^n \to 0$ in H^r_{per} and a sequence $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of positive times tending to 0 as $n \to \infty$ such that the corresponding solutions $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ emanating from this initial data, whose existence is guaranteed by the periodic version [9] of the theory for the initial-value problem, are such that for $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$,

$$\|u(\cdot, T_n)\|_{H^s_{per}} \geq n.$$

This insures in particular that the solution map $\mathcal{S}$ that associates solutions to initial data, which exists on L_2, cannot be extended continuously to all of H^s_{per}, thus reproducing Panthee's conclusion. Results of this sort go by the appellation *norm inflation* for obvious reasons. The idea originated in the work of Bourgain and Pavlović [8] for the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equation. The method of construction there was applied to some other dissipative fluid equations by the second author and her collaborators, see [12, 11, 10]. It suggests that the method is generic as well as sophisticated.

Notation

The notation used throughout is standard. For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the collection $\dot{H}^r_{per}$ is the homogeneous space of 2π-periodic distributions whose norm

$$\|f\|_r^2 = \sum_{k=1}^\infty k^{2r}(|f_k|^2 + |g_k|^2)$$

is finite. Elements in $\dot{H}^r_{per}$ all have mean zero over the period domain $[0, 2\pi]$. Here, the $\{f_k\}$ are the Fourier sine coefficients and the $\{g_k\}$ are the Fourier cosine coefficients of f. Notice that $\dot{H}^0_{per}$ may be viewed simply as the L_2-functions on the period domain $[0, 2\pi]$ with mean zero. If X is any Banach space, the set $C([0, T]; X)$ consists of the continuous functions from the real interval $[0, T]$ into X with its usual norm.

2. Norm inflation

The principal result of our study is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $r < 0$ by given. Then there is a sequence $\{u_0^j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$, periodic initial data such that*

$$u_0^{(j)} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty$$

in $\dot{H}^r_{per}$ and a sequence $\{T_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of positive times tending to zero as $j \to \infty$ such that if $u_j(x, t)$ is the solution emanating from $u_0^{(j)}$, then

$$\|u(\cdot, T_j)\|_{\dot{H}^r_{per}} \geq j$$

for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Proof: Fix $s > 0$, let $r = -s$ and consider a wavenumber $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ which, in due course, will be taken to be large. Let $k_2 = k_1 + 1$, define $\bar{u}$ by $\bar{u} = \sin(k_1 x) + \sin(k_2 x)$ and consider the 2π-periodic, men zero initial data $u_0 = k_1^\gamma \bar{u}$ for (1.1) where $\gamma > 0$ will be restricted presently. Of course, u_0 is smooth, so the theory developed in [9] implies that a unique, global, smooth solution emanates from this initial data.

Notice also that the solution preserves the property of having zero mean, so it lies in $C([0,T];\dot{H}^{\rho}_{per})$ for all $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\varphi(D_x)$ be the Fourier multiplier operator given in terms of its Fourier transform by $\widehat{\varphi(D_x)u}(\xi) = \frac{\xi}{1+\xi^2}\hat{u}(\xi)$. The equation (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} iu_t =& \varphi(D_x)u + \frac{1}{2}\varphi(D_x)\left(u^2\right), \\ & u(0,x) = u_0(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Let $S(t) = e^{-it\varphi(D_x)}$ be the unitary group defining the evolution of the linear BBM equation. Then, Duhamel's principle allows the solution of (1.1)-(2.2) to be written in the form

$$u(x,t) = S(t)u_0(x) + u_1(s,t) + y(x,t) \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$u_1(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t S(t-\tau)\varphi(D_x)\big(S(\tau)u_0)\big)^2 d\tau$$

is the first order approximation of the nonlinear term in the differential-integral equation in (2.2). The function $y(x,t)$ is the remainder, which may be expressed implicitly in the sightly complicated, but useful form

$$y(x,t) = \int_0^t S(t-\tau)\varphi(D_x)\big[G_0(\tau) + G_1(\tau) + G_2(\tau)\big]\, d\tau \tag{2.4}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}u_1^2(\tau) + u_1(\tau)S(\tau)u_0, \\ G_1(\tau) &= u_1(\tau)y(\tau) + y(\tau)S(\tau)u_0, \\ G_2(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2}y^2(\tau), \end{aligned}$$

where the spatial dependence has been supressed for ease of reading. The strategy is to show that by choosing k_1 sufficiently large, u_1 becomes large in a short time in the space $\dot{H}^r_{per} = \dot{H}^{-s}_{per}$, while the error term y remains under control in the same space.

In contrast to dissipative equations, the linear dispersion operator $S(t)$ only translates the wave, but does not change its magnitude; more precisely, for $k = 1, 2, \cdots,$

$$S(t)\sin(kx) = \sin\left(kx - \frac{k}{1+k^2}t\right), \quad S(t)\cos(kx) = \cos\left(kx - \frac{k}{1+k^2}t\right). \tag{2.5}$$

On the other hand, the operator $\varphi(D_x)$ both decreases the amplitude of its argument and adds rotation *viz.*

$$\varphi(D_x)\sin kx = -i\frac{k}{1+k^2}\cos kx, \qquad \varphi(D_x)\cos kx = i\frac{k}{1+k^2}\sin kx. \tag{2.6}$$

It follows from this that $\varphi(D_x)$ vanishes on constant functions.

It is clear that if $s > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S(t)u_0\|_{-s} = \|u_0\|_{-s} \sim k_1^{\gamma - s}, \\ \text{while} \quad & \|S(t)u_0\|_0 = \|u_0\|_0 \sim k_1^{\gamma}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

As we want the initial data to be small in $\dot{H}^{-s}_{per}$, γ is restricted to the range $(0, s)$. The formulas in (2.5) imply

$$S(\tau)\bar{u} = \sin\left(k_1 x - \frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2}\tau\right) + \sin\left(k_2 x - \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\tau\right),$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\big[S(\tau)\bar{u}\big]^2 = \ & \frac{1}{2}\left[1 - \cos\left(2k_1 x - \frac{2k_1}{1+k_1^2}\tau\right)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\left[1 - \cos\left(2k_2 x - \frac{2k_2}{1+k_2^2}\tau\right)\right] \\ & + \cos\left((k_1-k_2)x - \left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2} - \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)\tau\right) \\ & - \cos\left((k_1+k_2)x - \left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2} + \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)\tau\right).\end{aligned}$$

It then follows from (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\varphi(D_x)\big[S(\tau)\bar{u}\big]^2 = & -\frac{i}{4}\frac{2k_1}{1+4k_1^2}\sin\left(2k_1 x - \frac{2k_1}{1+k_1^2}\tau\right) \\ & - \frac{i}{4}\frac{2k_2}{1+4k_2^2}\sin\left(2k_2 x - \frac{2k_2}{1+k_2^2}\tau\right) \\ & + \frac{i}{2}\frac{k_1-k_2}{1+(k_1-k_2)^2}\sin\left((k_1-k_2)x - \left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2} - \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)\tau\right) \\ & - \frac{i}{2}\frac{k_1+k_2}{1+(k_1+k_2)^2}\sin\left((k_1+k_2)x - \left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2} + \frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)\tau\right) \\ \equiv & \ I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.\end{aligned}$$

Consider now the function $\sin(kx - \omega t)$ and calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t S(t-\tau)\sin(kx-\omega\tau)d\tau &= \int_0^t \sin\Big(kx - \frac{k}{1+k^2}(t-\tau) - \omega\tau\Big)d\tau \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{1+k^2} - \omega\right)^{-1}\left(\cos\Big(kx - \frac{k}{1+k^2}t\Big) - \cos\Big(kx - \omega t\Big)\right)\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where use has been made of (2.5).

The latter formula, applied four times, allows us to calculate u_1 explicitly, to wit,

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1 =& k_1^{2\gamma}\int_0^t S(t-\tau)\big[I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4\big]\,d\tau\\
=& -\frac{ik_1^{2\gamma}}{12}\frac{1+k_1^2}{k_1^2}\left[\cos\left(2k_1x-\frac{2k_1}{1+k_1^2}t\right)-\cos\left(2k_1x-\frac{2k_1}{1+4k_1^2}t\right)\right]\\
&-\frac{ik_1^{2\gamma}}{12}\frac{1+k_2^2}{k_2^2}\left[\cos\left(2k_2x-\frac{2k_2}{1+k_2^2}t\right)-\cos\left(2k_2x-\frac{2k_2}{1+4k_2^2}t\right)\right]\\
&+\frac{ik_1^{2\gamma}}{2}\frac{k_1-k_2}{1+(k_1-k_2)^2}\left[\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2}-\frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}-\frac{k_1-k_2}{1+(k_1-k_2)^2}\right]^{-1}\cdot\\
&\left[\cos\left((k_1-k_2)x-\left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2}-\frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)t\right)-\cos\left((k_1-k_2)x-\frac{k_1-k_2}{1+(k_1-k_2)^2}t\right)\right]\\
&-\frac{ik_1^{2\gamma}}{2}\frac{k_1+k_2}{1+(k_1+k_2)^2}\left[\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2}+\frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}-\frac{k_1+k_2}{1+(k_1+k_2)^2}\right]^{-1}\cdot\\
&\left[\cos\left((k_1+k_2)x-\left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2}+\frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)t\right)-\cos\left((k_1+k_2)x-\frac{k_1+k_2}{1+(k_1+k_2)^2}t\right)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

A study of the various constants appearing above reveals that, up to absolute constants,

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1 \sim& -ik_1^{2\gamma}\left[\cos\left(2k_1x-\frac{2k_1}{1+k_1^2}t\right)-\cos\left(2k_1x-\frac{2k_1}{1+4k_1^2}t\right)\right]\\
&-ik_1^{2\gamma}\left[\cos\left(2k_2x-\frac{2k_2}{1+k_2^2}t\right)-\cos\left(2k_2x-\frac{2k_2}{1+4k_2^2}t\right)\right]\\
&+ik_1^{2\gamma}\left[\cos\left(x-\left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2}-\frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)t\right)-\cos\left(x-\frac{t}{2}\right)\right]\\
&-ik_1^{2\gamma}\Bigg[\cos\left((k_1+k_2)x-\left(\frac{k_1}{1+k_1^2}+\frac{k_2}{1+k_2^2}\right)t\right)\\
&\qquad-\cos\left((k_1+k_2)x-\frac{k_1+k_2}{1+(k_1+k_2)^2}t\right)\Bigg].
\end{aligned}$$

as k_1 becomes large. Since

$$\big|\cos(kx-\omega_1t)-\cos(kx-\omega_2t)\big| \le |\omega_1-\omega_2|t,$$

straightforward calculations show that the first, second and fourth terms above are uniformly small compared to the third term, for large values of k_1. Indeed, they are all of order $k_1^{2\gamma-1}t$, whereas the third term is of order $k_1^{2\gamma}t$.

It follows from this that for all $t \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_1(t,\cdot)\|_{-s} &\sim k_1^{2\gamma}t \quad \text{and likewise}\\
\|u_1(t,\cdot)\|_0 &\sim k_1^{2\gamma}t.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Thus, by taking k_1 large, the $\dot H^{-s}_{per}$-norm of u_1 can be made as big as we like.

As mentioned earlier, an estimate of the error term y is needed to complete the argument. It will in fact be shown that y is even bounded in L_2, let along $\dot H^{-s}_{per}$.

To this end, use is made of one of a periodic version of one the bilinear estimates in [7].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $u, v \in H^q_{per}$ with $q \geq 0$. Then*

$$\|\varphi(D_x)(uv)\|_q \lesssim \|u\|_q \|v\|_q \tag{2.10}$$

where the implied constant only depends upon q.

The proof of this result is the same as the proof of Lemma 1 in [7], with sums replacing integrals.

Introduce the abbreviation X_T for $C([0,T];L^2)$ for ease of reading. The value of $T > 0$ will be specified momentarily. It follows from (2.10) and the implicit relationship (2.4) for the remainder y that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|_{X_T} \lesssim & T\|u_1\|^2_{X_T} + T\|S(t)u_0\|_{X_T}\|u_1\|_{X_T} + T\|u_1\|_{X_T}\|y\|_{X_T} \\ & + T\|S(t)u_0\|_{X_T}\|y\|_{X_T} + T\|y\|^2_{X_T} \\ \lesssim & T^3 k_1^{4\gamma} + T^2 k_1^{3\gamma} + \Big(k_1^{2\gamma}T^2 + k_1^{\gamma}T\Big)\|y\|_{X_T} + T\|y\|^2_{X_T} \\ = & \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}\mathcal{Y} + T\mathcal{Y}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}(T) = \|y\|_{X_T}$. As $y \in C([0,M];L_2)$ for all $M > 0$, it follows that $\mathcal{Y}(T)$ is a continuous function of T. Moreover, $\mathcal{Y}(0) = 0$.

Choose $T_0 = k_1^{-\mu\gamma}$, where $\mu > \frac{3}{2}$. With this choice, we see that for $T \leq T_0$,

$$\mathcal{A} = O\big(k_1^{\gamma(4-3\mu)} + k_1^{\gamma(3-2\mu)}\big) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B} = O\big(k_1^{2\gamma(1-\mu)} + k_1^{\gamma(1-\mu)}\big),$$

as $k_1 \to \infty$ and all the exponents are negative.

Choose k_1 large enough that $\mathcal{B} < \frac{1}{2}$ and T and $\mathcal{A}$ are both small. It follows in this circumstance that the quadratic polynomial

$$p(z) = \mathcal{A} + (\mathcal{B} - 1)z + Tz^2$$

has two positive roots, the smaller of which is denoted $\underline{z}$ and the larger $\bar{z}$. Of course, $p(z) < 0$ for $z \in (\underline{z}, \bar{z})$.

The inquality (2.11) may be expressed as

$$p\big(\mathcal{Y}(T)\big) \geq 0.$$

As $\mathcal{Y}(T)$ is continuous and $\mathcal{Y}(0) = 0$, it follows that $\mathcal{Y}(T) \leq \underline{z}$ for all $T \in [0, T_0]$. For k_1 large, $T_0 < 1$. When combined with the fact that $\mathcal{B} < \frac{1}{2}$, it is readily deduced that

$$\underline{z} \leq 4\mathcal{A}, \quad \text{whence} \quad \mathcal{Y}(T) \leq 4\mathcal{A},$$

thus assuring that the remainder $y(\cdot, t)$ is indeed uniformly bounded in $\dot{H}^{-s}_{per}$ for $t \leq T_0$ and large choices of k_1.

Taking a suitably chosen, increasing sequence $\{k_1^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of wavenumbers for which

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} k_1^{(j)} = +\infty,$$

and with the indicated choices of γ and μ, (2.7) assures the initial data tends to zero in $\dot{H}^{-s}_{per}$. The decomposition (2.3) together with (2.7), (2.9) and the bound just obtained on y then implies that the solutions u_j blow up at times $T_j = (k_1^{(j)})^{-\mu\gamma}$. The latter tend to zero as $j \to \infty$ since μ and γ are both positive. This completes the proof of the theorem.

References

[1] A.A. Alazman, J.P. Albert, J.L. Bona, M. Chen and J. Wu. *Comparisons between the BBM equation and a Boussinesq system*. Advances Differential. Eq. **11** (2006) 121–166.

[2] D. Ambrose, J.L. Bona, and D. Nicholls. *On Ill-posedness of truncated series models for water waves*. Proc. Royal Soc. London, Series A **470** (2014) 1–16.

[3] T.B. Benjamin, J.L. Bona and J.J. Mahony. *Model equations for long waves in nonlinear dispersive media*, Philos. Trans. Royal Soc. London Series A **272** (1972) 47–78.

[4] J.L. Bona, T. Colin and D. Lannes. *Long wave approximations for water waves*, Archive Rat. Mech. Anal. **178** (2005) 373–410.

[5] J.L. Bona, W.G. Pritchard and L.R. Scott. *An evaluation of a model equation for water waves*, Philos. Trans. Royal Soc. London Series A **302** (1981) 457–510.

[6] J.L. Bona, W.G. Pritchard and L.R. Scott. *A comparison of solutions of two model equations for long waves*, In Lectures in Applied Mathematics **20** (ed. N. Lebovitz) American Mathematical Society: Providence (1983) 235–267.

[7] J.L. Bona and N. Tzvetkov. *Sharp well-posedness results for the BBM equation*, Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems, Series A **23** (2009) 1241–1252.

[8] . *Ill-posedness of the Navier-Stokes equations in a critical space in 3D*. Journal of Functional Analysis, **255** (2008) 2233–2247.

[9] H. Chen. *Periodic initial-value problem for the BBM-equation*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, Special Issue on Computational Methods in Analysis **48** (2004) 1305–1318.

[10] A. Cheskidov and M. Dai. *Norm inflation for generalized Magneto-hydrodynamic system*. Nonlinearity, **28** (2015) 129–142.

[11] A. Cheskidov and M. Dai. *Norm inflation for generalized Navier-Stokes equations*. Indiana University Mathematics Journal, **63** (2014), No. 3 : 869–884.

[12] M. Dai, J. Qing, and M. Schonbek. *Norm inflation for incompressible Magneto-hydrodynamic system in* $\dot{B}_{\infty}^{-1,\infty}$. Advances in Differential Equations, **16** (2011), No. 7-8, 725–746.

[13] D. Lannes. *Well-posedness of the water-waves equations*, J. American Math. Soc. **18** (2005) 605–654.

[14] D. Lannes. The water waves problem: mathematical analysis and asymptotics, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* **188** American Math. Soc.: Providence (2013).

[15] M. Panthee, *On the ill-posedness result for the BBM equation* Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems **30** (2011) 253–259.

[16] D.H. Peregrine, *Calculations of the development of an undular bore*, J. Fluid Mech. **25** (1966) 321–330 .

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607,USA
E-mail address: bona@math.uic.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607,
E-mail address: mdai@uic.edu